

**JUDGING PROCESS**  
**OF MOCK IMO SESSIONS AT IMU CAMPUSES**

**JUDGES PANEL:**

S. No.	Topics and Panel of Judges	
	MSC HTW	MARPOL
1	Capt. Ashok Mahapatra	Mr. M.V. Ramamurthy
2	Dr. B.K. Saxena	Capt. M.M. Saggi
3	Mr. Dilip Mehrotra	Mr. A.B. Dutta

The Judging process guidelines for the mock IMO Session at IMU Campuses is outlined below taking into account the overall objective of the session, the diversity of the participants and finally the competitive spirit in which same is being conducted.

**Step A:** All written papers are submitted to the respective panels for evaluation

The evaluation will be based on marking following the weightage pattern as below:

Positives							Negatives	
Subject strength*	R & D	Logical narrative	Original concept	Universal application	Concrete proposal**	Innovative concept introduction	Non adherence to guidelines	Poor grammar / English
20	20	15	15	10	10	10	Max -5	Max -3

All marks will be tabulated campus-wise for each topic and the top paper in each category would move to the Intra Campus presentation. It is possible and recognized that, a paper in a campus with marks lower than the losing paper(s) in another campus, may still move for presentation due nil competition in that category in that particular campus. To give further opportunity in such a scenario, the second-best paper in a campus, if within 3 % marks of the best paper and at least 7% marks above the winning paper in other campus(es), will also get an opportunity to present in the Intra Campus level. However, the same would not apply for selection from Intra Campus to Inter Campus, where only the best paper in each category will qualify post the Intra Campus round, based on the total marks.

\* - Subject Strength: The Judges will also note how the team portrays its role faithfully and demonstrates a thorough grasp of the subject assigned.

\*\* - Giving a concrete solution is also important to the success of a team and of any delegation at IMO. Thus, Judges would be looking at the papers to see if the participants are able to bring their ideas into a resolution / concluding proposal.

**Step B:** Intra Campus competition 31<sup>st</sup> July /1<sup>st</sup> Aug 2021 & 7<sup>th</sup> /8<sup>th</sup> Aug 2021:

The written evaluation will contribute 80 % towards the final marks.

**Event:**

The process followed will be:

Each team will be given maximum 8 minutes (uninterrupted) for **Introduction & explanation** of their paper – they can use a PowerPoint presentation for the same – time overrun up to maximum 1 minute will not be negatively marked, however, post that, negative marks will be given. At 11 minutes, the team would be stopped regardless. This introduction & explanation will carry 10 marks.

Once all teams are finished, there will be a 10-minute break. The Judges panel will decide which document / team proposal moves forward and then the discussion on the floor for the selected teams will open. The target of each team will be

- i. to get maximum of their objectives added to the document
  - ii. remove maximum of points against their objectives from the document
- decisions will be by simple majority among the participating teams

The Judges during these interactions would be observing all the teams and giving marks totaling up to 10 marks. Argumentative and unparliamentary approach in these discussions will carry negative marks and it is essential that participants maintain an attitude of respect to all.

**Note:**

Judges will be evaluating each team's participatory skills as the debate progresses. How does the team work with other teams? Do they work to secure agreement and communicate clearly and persuasively, use yields to benefit allies, seem to have a plan or strategy to get support for their policies, welcome contributions from others, listen as well as speak to other teams? Are they respectful of the contributions and ideas of other teams?

Basis the scores, 1 team per category, i.e., the best team in each category would move to the Inter Campus competition. In case of a tie both the teams would move forward.

**Step C:** Inter Campus competition – 14<sup>th</sup> Aug:

The final papers will be marked by the respective panels and will contribute to 75% of final score. The introduction and explanation would still carry 10 % weightage while the Interaction session would carry 15% weightage.

**Event:**

Same flow as the Intra Campus, however only one session will be there for each topic and basis similar scheme as Intra Campus, the Judges would finalize the final score and decide on:

- i. The best team
- ii. Runners up
- iii. Best speaker
- iv. Most Original paper

**Note:**

During both the events the other Judges panel would also be invited and would endeavor to attend if available as observers for the session.

**15<sup>th</sup> Aug event:**

It will be structured on a similar level as the closing session at an IMO meeting –

Additionally, all teams will give a short debrief of their proposal (5 mins) and the Judges' final results will be announced. If Best speaker and Most Original papers are separate from the awarded papers, they will also be invited to give their paper brief for 5 minutes.

Thereafter there will be a short panel (30 minutes) discussion involving some Industry personnel / authorities who have been part on 14<sup>th</sup> for views / suggestion / way forward

This will be followed by Award distribution, thanks to Judges, Guides, Faculty and participants.

The Secretariat function will be handled by the core committee. The WhatsApp channel between the Judges group and the core committee will remain open during the session to facilitate any observation / query of the Judges to be dealt with.

- ❖ IMU uses the software Urkund to check on Plagiarism. Thus, participants are requested to ensure they give the details of the related documents / references and sources used in their submission, enable suitable feedback being made available by the Secretariat to the Judges.