|       |  |   | Minutes of the pre-bid meeting  | g held on 20.09.2018  |   |
|-------|--|---|---|---|---|
| S.No. | Clause<br>Number<br>and Page<br>Number                   | Reference<br>clause Details                                       | Clarification sought  | Reason for raising the clarification  | IMU's Reply   |
| 1     | _  | Levels  | The levels of CMMI has no bearing on the delivery. In On - Screen Marking, the technology component is 45% with the scanning and logistical aspects weighed at 55%. When this being the case, why is the Tender document demarcating the evaluation parameters in terms of marks for capabuility maturity model.  |   | IMU prefers CMMI certification. However, considering the nature of service being solicited it is proposed to exclude this certification from minimum eligiblity criteria. Bidder who possesses CMMI Certificate will be given due weightage at the time of Technical Evaluation. The Service Provider should atleast possess ISO 27001:2013 certificate and also please refer to the corrigendem. |
| 2     | 2.2.<br>Minimum<br>Eligibility<br>Criteria<br>Page No. 5 | Should at least<br>possess CMMI<br>Level                          | We are into End to End Examinations Solutions for the last 10 Years and we are pioneers in Books Scanning since last 5 years. We are in possession of ISO 9001:2015 & ISO 27001:2013 Certificates . In our Experience Universities who have called for On Screen Tender have not asked for CMMI Level Certificates.  So we request you to kindly relax the criteria of having CMMI Level Certificates . |   |   |
| 3     |  | Integration<br>(CMMI) Level of<br>the                             | This clause is superfluous. Assignment much larger than IMU requirement is being carried out by TRS. Our eMarquer Software is audited by an External Agency named AKS IT Services and certified as "Safe for Hosting" and "No Vulnerability" We are also certified by ISO 9001:2013 and ISO 27001: 2015. Hence, we request this clause to be removed.   |   |   |
| 4     | 3.1.1 a)   | Evaluation and<br>Scanning Zones<br>(Geographically<br>Dislocated | internet requirement is a must as there would not<br>be any local storage and every data is stored in the   | If Data is stored in Local Servers, then it gives scope and ambit for manipulations in the data accessing the Local Server. Moreover live feed of Evaluation Status would not be possible and availale for IMU Head Quarters - CoE office for corrective course of action to be taken then and there. | Scanning and evaluation shall be done locally. However, Storage of data will be or central server at IMU HQ.  |

| 5 3.1.4  | Proper Industry<br>Standard<br>Authentications | As a practice, we enable rolebased access and while doing so, every factulty's, administrator's mobile phone is also taken into our database. An OTP is sent to the mobile number to log into the application just like how Banks do to authenticate. By Doing so, we found that the accountability is very high as the mobile is linked with Aadhaar that there is very little scope for repudiation.   |  | Tender condition prevails |
|--|--|--|--|---------------------------|
| 6 3.1.10 Scanning should be done without cutting the spine of Answer Booklets by Employing book Scanners | Clause no:<br>3.1.10                           | The mere purpose of implementing On Screen Marking gets defeated as Book Scanning tends to take a lot of time and there happens to be a manual intervention to flip the pages for the scanning process which is rudimentary and prone to errors. 50 lac answer booklets are processed by We every Semester in Mumbai University. The Process is well oiled that there happens to be absolutely zero mix ups. While this is soTo give an example, The exercise done by M/s. Tata Consultancy Services for the on screen marking in Goa School Board backfired very badly due to the time taken and errors that were seen. Whiles some local players recommend book scanning to push their product, We being a gobal company does not recommend book scanning. | We operate across the country, giving the On Screen Marking Solutions both for Universities and Public Service Commissions. Hence the process that is defined to scan the answer booklets is key to the success of the exercise. Moreover, a technology that is implemented should be cost effective and also seamless in terms of operational aspects. The Solution implemented by We is used for On Screen Marking world over @ 120 universities around the globe. Significant implementations in India are , Rajasthan Public Service Commission, Orrissa Public Service commission, Mumbai University, RGUHS etc. Request for the consideration to change the clause accordingly | Tender condition prevails |
| 7 Clause<br>3.1.10 &<br>Page No. 18  |  | Scanning should be done without cutting the spine of the Answer Booklet by employing the Book Scanners. The indicative number of Answer Booklet shall be 1, 00,000 for a particular year. All the pages of the booklet should be accounted for and identified with the booklet ID number. Document scanner with ADF (Automatic Document Feeder) are not allowed for scanning.  | Without cutting will slow down the entire process even for a relatively small size of digitization requirement of IMU; The concern of booklets being cut and consequently mix up of images within a booklet and between booklets is effectively addressed by a simple and unique ID marking process of TRS. So, digitization by cutting booklets can also be considered.   | Tender condition prevails |

| 8  | 3.6.1  | Scanned Images<br>moved through<br>Computer media | Scanned images are directly uploaded to the Data Center Server. Usage of Pen Drives, Hard Disks and other media to store scanned images is a serious security threat and We refrains from this practice. Any access to the scanned answer booklet shall have to be legitimate which is one and only for evaluation. Even no one has the privilege of downloading the answer booklet to a local hard disk space. Every aspect of On Screen Marking shall be only online. | While you give the flexibility to store the images in a portal media, it becomes extremely vulnerable for any malpractice done on the surface of the scanned image  | Scanning and evaluation shall be done locally. However, Storage of data will be on central server at IMU HQ.                          |
|----|--|---|---|---|---|
| ç  | 3.6.3 Uploading of Answer scripts to a dedicated server in a LAN | Clause no: 3.6.3                                  | the answer booklets into a dedicated Tiee - 3 data center for the reasons cited below: 1. Every activity including the CoE and whoever who is accessing the server shall have to be monitored through the log files. 2. Every activity done in a day shall be replicated in the local server in IMU CoE, Headquarters. 3. Maintaining the Log Files in the  | manner that the element of compromise is arrested then and there. Whilst some of the competitors of We to this bid might cite the example of Dr. M.G.R. Medical University implementation, we have refrained from participating in the tender process for the mere fact that there is a room for compromise with access given to Database and the Log files for any kind of manipulation being done internally without a trace. | Scanning and evaluation shall be done locally. However, Storage of data will be on central server at IMU HQ and not in the local LAN. |
| 10 | 3.6.7  | Post result<br>answer scripts<br>for RTI          | The Answer scripts shall be made available in the evaluated form as a PDF for RTI queries. Is that OK with IMU?   |   | Tender condition prevails   |

| 11 |                                  | Primary and<br>Secondary Data<br>center server in<br>IMU. | The primary data center would be the Tier - 3 Data Center of the Service Provider. Secondary Data Center would be the DRC in Chennai. The Mirrored Data with Log file would be made available in IMU Head Quarters. No Data would be accessed through the intranet as the footprint of the Log Files and every other information is crucial when it comes to the question of integrity. This will not be compromised at any cost by We irrespective of the fact that one of our competitors are giving the same solution in a Medical University Headquartered in Chennai. |   | Tender condition prevails with Primary Data<br>Centre at IMU HQ and Secondary Data<br>Centre at IMU Navi Mumbai Campus. |
|----|----------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| 12 |                                  | evaluation of an answer script to avoid fast and          | This is subjective as the age of evaluator and his exposure to handling computers, social media etc are determinants of the speed of evaluation. Fundamentally, the software is so programmed to set a threshold of answer booklets. For Example in a forenoon session, an evaluator cannot evaluate more than 20 answer booklets. Accordingly the evaluator's bucket would be filled with 5 answer booklets at a time * 4 times. If in case the evaluator falls short where in he/she evaluates only 15 then 15 becomes the measure of the efficiency of the evaluator.   |   | Tender condition prevails   |
| 13 | Clause 3.1.4<br>& Page No.<br>18 |   | base security mechanism and<br>proper industry standard<br>authentication like Digital   | Is digital signature or biometric is must? If must, it unnecessarily increase the cost of the project. Username and Password as standard authentication security is adequate. | Tender condition prevails   |
| 14 | Clause 3.2.6<br>& Page No.<br>20 |   |  | Whether IMU provide Internet and Bandwidth to monitor all evaluation zones activities.  | IMU shall provide Internet connectivity   |

| 15 | Clause no.    | The Service Provider should      | This basis is having an anomaly.        | Please refer to Corrigendum                 |
|----|---------------|----------------------------------|---|---|
|    | 2.19 - Under  | have satisfactorily completed    | A participant with three jobs in        |   |
|    | weightage     | "Similar Service" involving      | classification (c) get cumulative       |   |
|    | S.No. 4 &     | values as listed below during    | Turnover of 72 Lakhs but would be       |   |
|    | Page No.      | any of the financial year        | award only 1.5 points. Whereas          |   |
|    | 15            | 2015-16,2016-17 or 201718        | another participant with a single       |   |
|    |               | a. At least 1 Similar Supply     | execution value of 48 lakhs will be     |   |
|    |               | with                             | awarded 2 Marks.                        |   |
|    |               | a minimum value of i.e. Rs. 48   | It appears a fair assessment could be   |   |
|    |               | Lakh [OR]                        | achieved if marks are awarded on        |   |
|    |               | b. At least 2 Similar Supply     | cumulative turnover for the projects    |   |
|    |               | with a minimum value of Rs.      | executed. For instance, any participant |   |
|    |               | 36 Lakh each [OR]                | with cumulative value in similar        |   |
|    |               | c. At least 3 Similar Supply     | supply with minimum value of Rs. 75     |   |
|    |               | with a minimum value of Rs.      | Lakhs may be awarded 5 marks and        |   |
|    |               | 24 Lakh each.                    | any participant with higher             |   |
|    |               |                                  | cumulative value shall be awarded 10    |   |
| 16 | Clause No.    | 1. Above 10 Crores but less than | a) Onscreen Marking is in its evolving  | Please refer to Corrigendum                 |
|    | 2.19 - Under  | 30 Crore 5 Marks. 2. Above 30    | stage of consistent acceptance by       |   |
|    | weightage     | Crore but less than 60Crore 10   | Examination Bodies.                     |   |
|    | S.No. 8 &     |                                  | b) A preconceived amount of Rs. 60      |   |
|    | Page No.      | Marks                            | crore turnover appears biased.          |   |
|    | 16            |                                  | c) Effective solutions for larger       |   |
|    |               |                                  | volume are being done by bidders        |   |
|    |               |                                  | with much lower turnover                |   |
|    |               |                                  | threshold.                              |   |
|    |               |                                  | d) In such an environment the           |   |
|    |               |                                  | turnover threshold need to be           |   |
|    |               |                                  | realistic                               |   |
| 17 | Clause 3 -    | (a) For 6 Nos. of Evaluation     | Whether Digitization is centralized at  | The below mentioned figures are as per June |
|    | 3.1.1 (a) -   | and Scanning Zones               | IMU – Headoffice and only evaluation    | 2018 end semester examination IMU           |
|    | Page No.      | (Geographically dislocated)      |   | Chennai Campus-9350                         |
|    | 17 & Clause   | (number may vary) and            | If not,(Viz) if digitization is not     | IMU Vizag Campus -1100                      |
|    | 3.6 - 3.6.1 - | As there is no physical          | centralized at IMU-HO; then the         | IMU Kochi Campus -2400                      |
|    | Page          | movement of answer scripts,      | number of Answer scripts zonewise to    | IMU Navi Mumbai Campus-7500                 |
|    | No. 21        | scanned images be transferred    | be furnished.                           | IMU Mumbai Port Campus-4100                 |
|    |               | through computer media.          |   | IMU Kolkata Campus-16000                    |
|    |               |                                  |   | The Same may be changed in the              |
|    |               |                                  |   | forthcoming exam as per IMU requirement.    |
|    |               |                                  |   |   |